Energy Automation Systems, Inc. v. Xcentric Ventures, LLC et al Doc. 34

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
**FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE**
**NASHVILLE DIVISION**

Motion GRANTED

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| **ENERGY AUTOMATION SYSTEMS, INC.,** | ) | |
| | ) | |
| **Plaintiff,** | ) | |
| | ) | |
| **v.** | ) | **CIVIL ACTION NO. 3-06-1079** |
| | ) | |
| **XCENTRIC VENTURES, LLC, d/b/a BADBUSINESS BUREAU and/or BADBUSINESSBUREAU.COM and/or RIP-OFF REPORT and/or RIPOFFREPORT.COM, and EDWARD MAGEDSON a/k/a ED MAGEDSON,** | ) | **Judge Aleta Trauger** <br> **Magistrate Judge Juliet Griffin** <br><br> **JURY DEMAND** |
| | ) | |
| **Defendants.** | ) | |

**PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION TO LIFT STAY ON DISCOVERY FOR LIMITED PURPOSE OF DISCOVERING JURISDICTIONAL FACTS**

Pursuant to Local Rule 7.01(b), Plaintiff Energy Automation Systems, Inc. ("EASI") moves the Court for leave to file a Reply in support of its Motion to Lift the Stay on Discovery for the Limited Purpose of Discovering Jurisdictional Facts (Doc. No. 24). A copy of EASI's Reply is attached to this Motion as Exhibit A.

Defendant Xcentric Ventures, LLC's ("Xcentric") response (Doc. No. 31) contains inaccuracies that necessitate a reply and clarification. Specifically, instead of addressing EASI's request for discovery, Xcentric argues that no "factual disputes" remain and the Court should dismiss the suit. EASI should be provided the opportunity to address Xcentric's reliance on an inappropriate standard for the instant motion. EASI respectfully requests the opportunity to

Dockets.Justia.com